UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/070,212	06/12/2002	Martin Bergenwall	60282.00438	9398
	7590 04/22/200 DERS & DEMPSEY L	EXAMINER		
-	CRESCENT DRIVE	MATTIS, JASON E		
VIENNA, VA 2	22182-2700		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/070,212	BERGENWALL ET AL.		
Examiner	A ( 11 14		
Examiner	Art Unit		

		JASON E. MATTIS	3	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this co	mmunication appe	ars on the cover s	heet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 April 2008 FAILS TO	PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONI	DITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection application, applicant must timely file of application in condition for allowance; for Continued Examination (RCE) in operiods:</li> </ol>	on, but prior to or on one of the following ( (2) a Notice of Appe	the same day as fili replies: (1) an amen eal (with appeal fee)	ng a Notice of a dment, affidavi in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the no event, however, will the statutory p Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, cl MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION	e mailing date of this A eriod for reply expire la neck either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) to ater than SIX MONTHS b). ONLY CHECK BO	S from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CF have been filed is the date for purposes of detern under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the eset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply receimay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. NOTICE OF APPEAL	nining the period of ext expiration date of the s ved by the Office later	ension and the corres hortened statutory per than three months afte	ponding amount iod for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on	.37(a)), or any exter	nsion thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed aft  (a) They raise new issues that woul  (b) They raise the issue of new mat  (c) They are not deemed to place the appeal; and/or	d require further cor ter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or se w);	earch (see NO	ΓE below);	
(d) They present additional claims we NOTE: See Continuation Sheet	e <u>t</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).			
<ul><li>4.  The amendments are not in complian</li><li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the formula.</li></ul>	ollowing rejection(s):	·		,	,
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>					_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed how the new or amended claims would The status of the claim(s) is (or will be Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16, 18-20, 22, and Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration	d be rejected is prov ) as follows: d 23.			l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed af because applicant failed to provide a s was not earlier presented. See 37 CF</li> </ol>	showing of good and R 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons	why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed af entered because the affidavit or other showing a good and sufficient reasons</li> </ol>	evidence failed to o	vercome <u>all</u> rejection	ns under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is ent REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTH	•	n of the status of the	e claims after ei	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11.   The request for reconsideration has Applicant's arguments regarding the require a further search of the prior a	newly added claim l ırt.	imitations are persu	asive; howeve		
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclo</i></li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	sure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper	No(s)		
/FIRMIN BACKER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit	2616				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitation stating "wherein the external entity is connectable to at least one futher router located outside said apparatus" requires a further search of the prior art.